

Tenant Outreach Fund

Application Review Rubric

Criteria	Question for reviewer to answer	Scale/Range			
		No (0)	Maybe (1)	Possibly (2)	Certainly (3)
Alignment between goals, outcomes and activities	<i>Do we believe that the proposed project will achieve the outcomes stated?</i>	The proposal does not do a good job at connecting the strategies, outcomes, activities, and metrics.	There is a slight connection between the strategies, outcomes, activities, and metrics, but it's not clearly evidenced or articulated.	The proposal seems to connect the strategies, outcomes, activities, and metrics, but it's not as clear as it can be.	The proposal clearly articulates how they will achieve the outcomes stated and does a great job at aligning the strategies, outcomes, activities, and metrics.
Community Guidance	<i>Does the project take guidance from those it is supposed to help?</i>	There is no evidence that this project or organization takes meaningful guidance from partners or community members.	The project <i>intends</i> to take guidance from community members/partners but it's not there yet, or is just now starting the relationship building work.	The organization has a history of partnering with and including community in their work, but it's not clearly articulated in this proposal.	The organization clearly articulates how they have been and will continue partnering with and including community in their decision-making.
Budget	<i>Is the request/ budget adequate for the project?</i>	The budget lacks specificity; it evidences a lack of preparation or capacity to carry out the project.	The budget provides some specificity but numbers do not appear realistic for the project.	The budget appears realistic for the project and provides some specificity but there are some questions about it.	The budget is well developed and aligns with the project's intent; it appears realistic and appropriate for the project. There are no questions or concerns.